

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/678,711 | Applicant(s) COONEY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Dennis H. Pedder | Art Unit 3612 | |

All Participants:

(1) Dennis H. Pedder.

(2) Mr. Olds.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2004

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 usc 112, 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

1,3,8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 1 to remove an indefinite limitation with regard to infringement determination. Claim 3 is cancelled as redundant to the limitations of claim 1 as amended.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)